Re: A3-2017-2879 CoA Order [27-10-17] BOS v Michaels 4PA41550

Low Newbiggin Estate < holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk >

Sat 28/10/2017 10:48

To: Civil Appeals - Associates < civilappeals.associates@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk >;

Cc:holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk <holidays@lownewbiggin.co.uk>; Louise (Retail Legal) Paterson

- <LouisePaterson@lloydsbanking.com>; antonio.osorio@lloydsbanking.com <antonio.osorio@lloydsbanking.com>; Claudia Chiatto
- <claudia.chiatto@lloydsbanking.com>; Group <groupexecutivecomplaints@lloydsbanking.com>; Robert Lockyer
- <Robert.Lockyer@lloydstsb-offshore.com>; Robert Goodwill <robert.goodwill.mp@parliament.uk>;

1 attachments (77 KB)

A3-2017-2879 CoA Order [27-10-17].pdf;

Dear Sirs

This is a NOTICE not a Letter.

I write to inform you of our disappointment of receiving the courts decision refusing a stay and or an appeal of HHJ Raeside's decision.

The courts took less than two hours to reach a decision to find against the Bank of Scotland. Less than two hours to review the defendants 313 pages of affidavits sworn under oath and other government and parliamentary documents proving that it is the Bank that have wronged the Claimant, and have no legal claim over Paul Michaels and or Charlotte Sarah Michaels with regard to their home Low Newbiggin House.

The bank has never had a legal binding agreement with the Defendants. The Bank of Scotland has never seen, witnessed or held the original title deeds to Low Newbiggin House as confirmed in the appellants appeal bundle provided for your consideration. The Bank of Scotland has registered an unlawful and illegal 'legal' claim to the title on the Land Registry which is a private not governmental company. The bank of Scotland has no (zero) claim against the Defendants. The Defendants do have a legal claim against the Bank of Scotland. The defendants never agreed to enter a civil court on a civil matter to be transferred to the chancery division without any indemnity number (insurance against mistakes) underpinning the process and being made available for scrutiny under the Data Protection ACT 1998. and so the hearing on the 3rd March and the trial on th 8th and 9th March 2017 and handing down of judgement on the 3rd October 2017 is "quid quid covert ate promiser"

Our understanding of the role of HMCTS appeal process is that it is supposed to protect the rights of real living people and provide a 'guardian roll' of the greater UK judicial system to ensure that a fair and just system is 'alive' and may not be challenged as 'supepficaiis' The court of appeal process pro ports to take a "more Equitable view" and "wider consideration of the facts beyond those relied upon for the decision", and "beyond legal and procedural points"

The equitable and lawful facts of this matter which protect our natural, civil and political rights, have been stymied at every point of this process and are clearly now a serious and huge 'Matter of Public Interest' as they prove that most ion not all bank loans and mortgages are fraudulent.

We declare 'quad delitescunt' which compounds any claim that the defendants may bring against the Bank of Scotland and Others

We will now apply to the Supreme court to seek justice. If our application is unsuccessful there then we escalate the matter to the World Court.

Paul Michaels For and on behalf of Paul Michaels Charlotte Sarah Michaels & Others Low Newbiggin Estate

On 27 Oct 2017, at 16:59, Civil Appeals - Associates < civilappeals.associates@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk > wrote:

03/01/2018

Good afternoon,

RE:A3-2017-2879 CoA Order [27-10-17]

Please find attached an Order in relation to the above. Copies have also been sent out by post.

Regards,

Oliur Rahman | Civil Appeals Office Civil appeals associate The Royal Courts of Justice | Strand | London | WC2A 2LL <u>Civilappeals.assosiates@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk</u> '020 7947 7856 '020 7947 7945

This e-mail (and any attachment) is intended only for the attention of the addressee(s). Its unauthorised use, disclosure, storage or copying is not permitted. If you are not the intended recipient, please destroy all copies and inform the sender by return e-mail.

Internet e-mail is not a secure medium. Any reply to this message could be intercepted and read by someone else. Please bear that in mind when deciding whether to send material in response to this message by e-mail.

This e-mail (whether you are the sender or the recipient) may be monitored, recorded and retained by the Ministry of Justice. E-mail monitoring / blocking software may be used, and e-mail content may be read at any time. You have a responsibility to ensure laws are not broken when composing or forwarding e-mails and their contents.